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Part 1

CDRH and Quantitative Imaging
CENTER FOR DEVICE AND RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH

• Mission: Protect and promote public health
  • Assuring that patients and providers have timely and continued access to safe, effective, and high-quality medical devices and radiation-emitting products

• CDRH regulates:
  • Medical imaging devices
  • Image processing/analysis devices
    • Picture Archive and Communication Systems (PACS)
    • Image processing tools
    • Image-based measurement tools (Quantitative Imaging [QI])
    • Computer-aided diagnosis (CAD)
    • …
CDRH Basics

• Device classifications
  • Class I, Class II, Class III
    • Depends on risk and special controls

• Premarket submission types
  • 510(k), PMA, de novo, HDE, exempt

• CT, PACS, Review workstations, CAD, standalone QI tools generally fall into Class II
DEVICES USING QI

- Direct application
  - Radiological measurement tools

DEVICES USING QI

• Indirect application
  • HeartFlow $\text{FFR}_\text{CT}$
    • Personalized 3D flow model of the coronary arteries
    • Impact of CT acquisition on model/analysis?

• Evaluation:
  • Clinical study comparing $\text{FFR}_\text{CT}$ to cCTA
CURRENT REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR MOST QI

- Most QI devices regulated as tools (or part of PACS/review workstations)
  - No specific performance or utility claims are made
  - Single estimated value is given (no error bars or added context for number)
  - Tool under physician control
  - No specific QI performance data submitted
FUTURE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR QI

• CDRH sees QI as an area of expected growth

• CDRH interested in:
  • Allowing meaningful error bars to be included with QI measurements
  • Allowing for specific QI device claims
SCOPe OF CDRH QI RESEARCH

• Current CDRH funded QI projects
  • Lesion volume estimation
    • Lung, liver
  • Material characterization
    • Calcium scoring, characterizing vascular plaques

• Goal of CDRH’s research is to develop general assessment methods along with task-specific phantoms that allow for least burdensome assessment of QI estimates
CDRH MDDT PROGRAM

Medical Device Development Tools

Draft Guidance for Industry, Tool Developers, and Food and Drug Administration Staff
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• MDDT
  • Scientifically validated tool that aids device development and regulatory evaluation
  • Clinical outcome or test used to detect or measure a biomarker or non-clinical assessment method or model
Part 2

Gender differences in CT calcium scoring

Work from
Qin Li, Ben Berman, Marios Gavrielides
BACKGROUND

• Common cardiovascular disease screening approach for intermediate risk patients
  • Identification of calcium in the coronary arteries using CT
    • Calcium score used as indicator of coronary health

• Secondary use
  • Calcium scoring applied to lung cancer screening images
BACKGROUND

• Gender
  • Willemink et al.\(^1\) compared calcium scoring with a smaller 300 × 200 mm and a larger 400 × 300 mm chest phantom
    • Calcium scores underestimated in larger chest phantom

• Reconstruction
  • Iterative algorithm ASIR\(^2\), SAFIRE\(^3\) and ADMIRE\(^4\) reduced noise but also decreased Agatston calcium scores compared to FBP

BACKGROUND

• Differing CT imaging protocols & patient characteristics can impact CT calcium scoring
  • May lead to inconsistent patient treatment recommendations
PURPOSE

• None of the previous studies specifically examined gender differences

• Aim is to determine impact of gender on coronary artery calcium scoring
  • Investigate impact of CT recon through imaging gender-specific anthropomorphic phantoms
    • Gender-based breast structure and vessels
GENDER-SPECIFIC VESSELS

• Custom designed 4 synthetic vessels containing stenoses
• Vessel Layout
**GENDER-SPECIFIC VESSELS**

- Vessel diameters
  - Average diameters for corresponding arteries in women/men*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of lumen</th>
<th>LM (left main)</th>
<th>LCX (left circumflex artery)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
<td>2.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
<td>3.4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GENDER-SPECIFIC VESSELS

• Vessels wrapped in butter-wax mixture (pericardium) & Styrofoam

![Images of gender-specific vessels](image-url)
GENDER-SPECIFIC VESSELS

• Reference standard
  • Micro CT for determining true size of calcium
ANTHROPOMORPHIC THORAX PHANTOM
IMAGING PROTOCOL

- Female phantom
  - Imaging with two synthetic breast plates attached to chest

- Male phantom
  - Imaging w/o breast plates
IMAGING PROTOCOL

• Dual-source CT scanner
  • SOMATOM Force, Siemens USA
    • Single-energy mode
      • 120kV, 80 mAs, 192x0.6 mm, 0.2 pitch, 330 ms rotation time
      • CTDI_{vol}: 5.98 ± 0.4 mGy
        • Fixed dose for direct comparison between male/female phantoms

• Retrospective ECG-trigger mode
  • Simulated ECG signal (heart rate = 60 bpm) used as trigger
  • Phantom is static so no lung/heart motion
IMAGING PROTOCOL

• Reconstruction
  • Methods
    • Filtered-back projection (FBP)
    • Iterative recon (ADMIRE)
      • IR3: Denoising strength 3
      • IR5: Denoising strength 5
  • Kernel: Br36 (Standard medium-smooth)
  • Slice thicknesses
    • 3.0 mm, 50% overlap (standard calcium scoring)
    • 0.6 mm, 50% overlap
IMAGING PROTOCOL

• 10 repeat scans
  • Repositioned phantom between each scan
    • Small random rotation of phantom
IMAGING PROTOCOL

Vessels + Thorax Phantom
- Female: with breast plates
- Male: without breast plates

Siemens SOMATOM Force CT

120 KVp, 80 mAs

3.0 mm Slices
- FBP

0.6 mm Slices
- IR-3
- IR-5
**PIXEL NOISE LEVELS**

- Female phantom higher noise +4.4, +3.6, +2.9 HU
PIXEL NOISE LEVELS

- Higher noise in female phantom/thinner slices as expected

![Graph showing noise levels in female and male phantoms for 3.0 mm and 0.6 mm slices. The graph indicates higher noise levels for female phantoms and thinner slices.](image-url)
CALCIUM SCORING

• Total calcium score
  • Sum all four vessels (F-LM, F-LCX, M-LM, M-LCX) in female/male

• Female calcium score
  • F-LM or F-LCX vessel in female phantom

• Male calcium score
  • M-LM or M-LCX vessel in male phantom
TOTAL CALCIUM SCORE RESULTS

0.6 mm, F    3 mm, F    0.6 mm, M    3 mm, M

Reference Volume
COMPARISON OF FPB AND IR

M-LM

M-LCX

M-LM (ROI 1)

M-LCX (ROI 2)

Black contours indicate segmented calcium (≥130 HU)
**GENDER COMPARISON**

- Trend to underestimate calcium score when vessel is small & imaged in female phantom

- Relative Error = \( \log \left( \frac{\text{Measurement}}{\text{Reference Standard}} \right) \)

- 3.0 mm slices
GENDER COMPARISON

- Gender-specific total calcium scores
GENDER DIFFERENCES IN CALCIUM SCORING

• Smaller vessels, female breast anatomy and IR significantly decrease calcium scores

• 0.6 mm slice thickness yields higher scores than 3 mm slices

• Imaging protocols & patient characteristics impact calcium score
  • May lead to inconsistent interpretation of patient risk
SUMMARY

• Part 1: Quantitative imaging at CDRH
  • Interested in developing alternate least burdensome pathways for QI for inclusion of enhanced information (e.g., error bars) or claims

• Part 2:
  • Implementation of calcium scoring within a lung cancer screening program requires corrections/modifications for compatibility with current practice
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